

Eron king
(b) (6)
02/21/2012 09:21 AM

To Douglas Jae P
cc Richard Kauffman, Farrer David G, "keo1@cdc.gov
(ATSDR/DHAC/EISAB) Orloff", DUBROW Matthew E, dale
mitchell, BISHOP Karen, Elizabeth Allen, gail shibley, Gary
Hale, Lisa Arkin
bcc
Subject Fwd: Attorney Client Privileged Communication - Revision
and Validation of Spring Sampling

Below is a solution to your deficiencies in the second round of testing..... Please take into
consideration.....

At this late date it would require quick and cooperative collaboration to remedy the most obvious of the deficiencies
with the responsible agencies' pointmen at the earliest possible date and present a cogent scientific argument for
enhance the integrity of the investigation. A set of starting items would be:

Multiagency Liaison Leadman Recruitment

Recruitment of Technical Compliance Officers

Inspections of Proposed Sites

Sampling and Handling Protocol Development and Revision

Referee Independent Laboratory Recruitment

.....

.....

I have considerable experience in analytical environmental chemistry and molecular biology and also years of field experience so that I would be in a good advisory position to handle the complexity of this situation and to evaluate the time and effort required for project revisions. If the desire were there for the group to collaborate in either a complimentary advisory capacity or to draw up the necessary plans and make the connections. This development and implementation with willing and able personnel for the next three weeks with continuing input thereafter through the investigation.

Dr. Steve Northway

(b) (6)

(541) 224-2578

(541) 929-5375

Thanks Amy,

This is similar to a doc that I already have. These Barr notes are always postdated from the testimony at the BC written afterward and there are no full data sets nor are protocols reported with which to evaluate their quality.

In regard to the issues facing the upcoming very expensive spring testing I had stated that there need to be some. Most important is that the sample acquisition needs to be strictly proctored: subsequent custody, vouchering, storage issues in comparison. Self-sampling fatally compromises the study's integrity and leaves it open to challenge from. It would become moot and squander not only that data but the entire extended study's value. If meaningful herbicide possibility of self-sampling aberrations would not be lost to adversarial parties who may already be apprised that they may have been counseled to maximize their exposure during sampling. Assuring integrity from error or contamination is difficult to confirm even with well-intentioned participants so that strict and impartial oversight would be needed.

A second advisement would be sure to include DACT (diaminochlorotriazine) in the analytic suite since it would be a congener of atrazine, especially in environmental and metabolic samples. A more expanded suite of environmental samples would be considered to bolster the study's immunity from allegations of tampering or temporal selectivity.

And we need to engage in timely, intelligent and meaningful collaboration with the participating agencies and unexpected results can be satisfactorily resolved to maintain the value and consensus of the whole of the work.

spring sampling begins.

Dr. Steve Northway

[illegible]